UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/019,518 | 12/28/2001 | Andre Kudelski | 16674-7 | 8685 |
| | 7590 06/18/201 S OF STUART J. FRIE | EXAMINER | | |
| 28930 RIDGE ROAD | | | IDOWU, OLUGBENGA O | |
| MT. AIRY, MD 21771 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2425 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/18/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summers | 10/019,518 | KUDELSKI ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | OLUGBENGA IDOWU | 2425 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u> | arch 2010 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| ·= | , _ | | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1933 C.D. 11, 433 C.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application. | ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ite | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/019,518 Page 2

Art Unit: 2425

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 1 talks about the program listing comprising a reference to the entitlement management message; there is inadequate support for this in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1 – 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamer, patent number: US 6 671 881 B1 in view of Young, publication number: US 2003/0159147 A1 in further view of Deiss, patent number: 5 521 979.

As per claim 1, Tamer teaches a system for selecting and confirming an impulse purchase for pay television, the system comprising:

Means for selection by the user of a program of a particular choice of the user, the choice confirmed in the system by an entitlement management message, the message being specific to an impulse purchase and comprising conditions defining authorization of viewing and conditions of cancellation of the authorization (selecting programs from an EPG, col. 3, lines 30 - 59, EMM, col. 4, lines 42 - 49, 54 - 57),

the program listing comprising date and time of broadcast of an impulse purchase program (program guide, col. 3, lines 30 – 59), the system further comprising a security module and means to transfer the entitlement management message specific to the impulse purchase to the security module when the user selects said impulse purchase program (smart card receiving EMM, col. 4, lines 42 - 67) and if the conditions for authorizing viewing of the program selected by the user are met, recording the impulse purchase and granting access to the purchased program (granting access, col. 4, lines 65 - 67)

Tamer does not teach a display device for presentation to a user a listing of programs. In an analogous art, Young teaches a display device for presentation to a user a listing of programs (display and guide, [0073- 0074], [0139]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Tamer by including a system that allows available programs to be viewed on a display, as described by Young's television schedule system, for the advantages of improving system interactivity and giving the user a better idea of the available programs.

Tamer and Young do not teach the programming information comprising a reference to the entitlement management message responsive to the authorization of said impulse purchase program.

In an analogous art, Deiss teaches the programming information comprising a reference to the entitlement management message responsive to the authorization of said impulse purchase program (entitlement information used by smart card being included in programming information, col. 4, line 56 – col. 5, line 14)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Tamer and Young's entitlement system by including a system that links the entitlement message as described in Deiss' transport system for the advantages of quicker access to program related information.

As per claim 2, the combination of Tamer, Young and Deiss teach System according to Claim 1, wherein the entitlement management message is used locally in a hardware subassembly installed at the user's premises, the hardware subassembly comprising a security module in which is stored subscriber authorizations and subscriptions profile relating to the user (Tamer: smart card apparatus 31, col. 4, lines 42 – 49, Fig. 3).

Application/Control Number: 10/019,518 Page 5

Art Unit: 2425

As per claim 3, the combination of Tamer, Young and Deiss teach System according to Claim 1, wherein the entitlement management message specific to the impulse purchase includes a notification to authorize viewing, or veto of the viewing authorization (Tamer: EMM for determining programs viewers are entitled to, col. 4, lines 54 - 57).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OLUGBENGA IDOWU whose telephone number is (571)270-1450. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F between 8 AM and 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Pendleton can be reached on 5712727527. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,518 Page 6

Art Unit: 2425

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/OLUGBENGA IDOWU/ Examiner, Art Unit 2425

/Brian T. Pendleton/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2425